APPENDIX



DISTRICT CITIZENS ADVISORY COUNCIL
Report to USD 501 Board of Education

OPEN ENROLLMENT

PURPOSE OF THE STUDY

Background Information

Open Enrollment became a policy of USD 501 on July 5, 1978. Considerable concern was expressed at the time as to how such a policy might affect school closings, the neighborhood school concept, and the percentage of minority enrollment within the schools. Several suggestions were made at the June 21, 1978 and July 5, 1978 Board meetings that the District Citizens Advisory Council (D.C.A.C.) study these concerns in relation to Open Enrollment.

The 1978-79 D.C.A.C. studied the Open Enrollment policy. Although the issues of neighborhood schools and school closings were not addressed, the Open Enrollment committee did not detect significant alterations in the percentage of minority enrollment.

Some of the recommendations made to the School Board were: (1) Changes in the percentage of minority enrollment due to Open Enrollment should be closely monitored; (2) Provision of transportation to indigent families should be considered; (3) Previous participants in Open Enrollment should be given a priority; (4) Open Enrollment should be continued; (5) Open Enrollment Policy Task Force should continue as a D.C.A.C. standing committee.

At the beginning of the 1979-80 D.C.A.C. session the Open Enrollment committee was established with the purpose of advising the Board of Education as to recommended changes in the current Open Enrollment Policy. At the October 1, 1979 D.C.A.C. meeting, School Board President Duane Pomeroy specifically charged the Open Enrollment committee to closely monitor changes in schools' minority percentages caused by Open Enrollment.

Present Study

Several topics of concern were discussed at the initial committee meetings. Attitudes toward Open Enrollment were considered difficult to obtain without surveying district patrons. Even if a survey could be taken, the committee questioned whether all reasons and motivations for using Open Enrollment would be listed by participating parents. Therefore, committee members agreed to informally survey affected parents, administrators, teachers, etc., to develop a comprehensive outlook toward the policy.

The question of transportation was considered an important topic. However, since a separate D.C.A.C. committee was studying the overall transportation policy, study or development of an Open Enrollment policy on transportation seemed premature. The committee has recommended further study of this issue in future years.

Of particular interest to the Open Enrollment committee was the relationship of the Open Enrollment transfers to the percentage of minority enrollment. Data were requested and received from the Office of Demographic Services of USD 501. After preliminary study indicated that a shift in minority percentages might have occurred due to Open Enrollment transfer applications, the committee decided to closely examine this area of concern.

METHOD

To determine the effect Open Enrollment had on the minority percentages within the schools, four percentage differentials (explained in the next paragraph) were arbitrarily selected by the D.C.A.C. Open Enrollment committee at the 5, 10, 15, and 20 percent levels. Data were obtained from the "Summary of All Applicants Made During the Open Enrollment Period: March 26 - April 17, 1979 For the School Year 1979-80" and the "Summary of the Applicants for School Enrollment Transfers Under Provisions of the Other Than Open Enrollment Period for 1979-1980 School Year." (Note: This study did not include children transferred due to child care baby-sitters.)

A percentage differential refers to a change in minority enrollment experienced by a child applying to transfer from one school to another which is equal to or greater than the selected percentage criterion (See Table I for percentage breakdowns). For example, a child who transfers from McEachron (minority enrollment of 10.65%) to State Street (minority enrollment of 24.76%) experiences a change of 14.11 percentage a lower-to-higher minority percentage at the 5% and 10% differential levels since 14.11% is greater than those levels. Conversely, a change in minority enrollment would not be tabulated at the 15% and 20% levels since 14.11% is smaller than those levels. The 5% differential data are the most sensitive to change in school minority percentages. The 20% differential data indicate the most obvious shifts of minority percentages between schools.

Minority percentages used in the study (Table I) were obtained from the "Racial Inventory for USD 501 Students." Follow-Through, Head Start, Alternative Education, Special Education Cooperative, and Capital City students were omitted from the study.

RESULTS

The accumulated changes in minority percentages within USD 501 affected by Open Enrollment transfer applications appear on Table II. For comparison, the accumulate changes in minority percentages within USD 501 due to transfer applications other than Open Enrollment appear on Table III. The polarization (segregation)* effect the transfer applicants would have on the total enrollment of USD 501 appears on Table I proceeding to the following discussion, the reader is advised to become familiar with these tables.

Observations Based on Inspection of Accumulated Data

The D.C.A.C. committee studied the resultant data thoroughly and came to the following conclusions:

- 1. Similar percentages of minority and white children participated in the District transfer programs (See Table V).
- Evidence shows that shifts in minority percentages might occur following Open Enrollment Transfer applications. A trend toward polarization existed at the elementary and middle school levels but not at the senior high level (See Table II.)

At the 20% differential level, 120 transfers would contribute to polarization; whereas 44 transfers would contribute to further integration. Thus, a net difference of 76 transfers would contribute to polarization. The topolarization at 16.52% of all Open Enrollment transfers would contribute to polarization at the 20% differential level. Of the 132 (net change) transfer that 16.5% differential level, the net percentage change would be 28.7% of a Open Enrollment transfers (See Table II).

- 3. Changes in minority percentages also might occur due to other transfer applications. A trend in polarization occurred similarly in Open Enrollment and other transfer applications (Compare Table II and Table III).
- 4. Open Enrollment would affect the minority percentages in the School District Whereas 2.91% of USD 501 students applied to participate in Open Enrollment (460 out of 15, 812), a net change of 76 students (at the 20% differential level) or 132 students (at the 5% differential level) would tend to have an overall net effect of further polarizing USD 501's schools by 0.48% at Enrollment Applicants (460 students) equaling 720 or 4.55% of USD 501 total enrollment.
- The data were not large enough to produce significant conclusions from a formal statistical analysis.
- 6. Changes in minority percentages caused by Open Enrollment transfer application did not always follow the chance hypothesis. There are many more schools with low minority percentages (e.g., 11 out of 27 elementary schools have a

The committee favored using the term polarization over segregation, since segregation might be interpreted as intentional separation whereas polarization would not necessarily imply intent, but only the resultant effect.

#6 con't.

minority enrollment less than 10%) in the district (See Table I). Consequently chance favors more students (both white and minority) transferring from a higher to a lower percentage elementary school. Although this trend is true of white elementary student applications, minority elementary transfer applications do not follow this pattern. Since two high schools have a higher minority enrollment than the third, the opposite results would be expected according to the chance hypothesis. This trend does not occur in either instance (white or minority).

Other Observations Not Directly Related to Accumulated Data

Aside from looking at the accumulated data, many hypotheses were derived but could n be substantiated due to the limitations of the study. These are presented for consideration but are certainly not all inclusive.

- Many transfers at the Middle School Level may have occurred due to preparation for changes in the boundaries of next year's Middle School program. For instance, this may have been the basic motivation of the students transferring from Highland Park Middle School to Eisenhower.
- Similarly, students may have transferred from Central Park to adjacent schools in anticipation of boundary changes.
- 3. Major arterial streets and school crossings are of primary concern to parents in relation to their children's safety. Similarly natural boundaries, distance from school, extracurricular programs, and various curriculums are plausible influential factors.
- 4. Although no reasons are known for the Open Enrollment transfer application, certain school's minority percentages (such as Lowman Hill) may have been adversely affected by incoming and outgoing transfers. Thirty-two white students and one minority student applied to transfer out of Lowman Hill, while three white students and six minority students applied to transfer in on the basis of Open Enrollment for a net increase of five minority students and decrease of thirty-one white students. Although other transfer and natural attrition contributed to changes in the minority enrollment percentage, nevertheless the change from 40.74% in 1978 to 43.13% in 1979 may have occurred in large part due to Open Enrollment.

SUMMARY

In summarizing its findings and developing its recommendations, the Open Enrollment committee developed the following conclusions:

- The data were tabulated on the basis of transfer applications. Actual transfer data were not available until after the study was completed. Thus this study should be judged on the basis of applications only and not on actual transfers.
- Regardless of the validity of various motivations that parents and/or students may have had in applying for either Open Enrollment or Other transfers, applications to participate in both of these programs would be a probable cause of minority and white student polarization within USD 501
- Transfers between attendance centers, Open Enrollment or other transfers, tend to shift enrollment in the direction of ethnic, social, and economic patterns.
- 4. By informally surveying parents with children participating in the program, the committee concluded that interest exists in continuing Open Enrollment. However concern has been expressed about the negative implications of Open Enrollment continuation.
- 5. The Open Enrollment committee endorses the importance of maintaining a racial balance within USD 501. Our endorsement is not based on meeting Court orders, law suits, H.E.W. expectations or quotas; rather we believe that it is desirable that our children experience a multi-cultural and racial environment based on equal opportunities and interest in the education of all children.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The Council voted to submit all recommendations to the Board, although two recommendations are adversely reported. The first two recommendations were adopted to be favorably presented to the Board:

- Further study should be made regarding provision for transportation of of students involved in Open Enrollment and school transfers. A survey of USD 501 parents concerning their interest in Open Enrollment if transportation costs could be reduced should be considered.
- Further study should be made regarding the effect that all forms of transfers have on minority percentages within the school district. The District Citizens Advisory Council should monitor all transfers within the school district and their resultant effects on racial composition, declining enrollments, school closings, and the neighborhood school concept.

The following two recommendations were not adopted by the District Citizens Advisory Council:

- 3. The following paragraph should be incorporated into Section V of Policy No. 10300 "ENROLLMENT AND ASSIGNMENT OF STUDENTS IN THE TOPEKA PUBLIC SCHOOLS (USD 501) STUDENT ENROLLMENT TRANSFERS OPEN ENROLLMENT PERIOD: The principal of each affected school, in consultation with the USD 501 central administration, should deny an Open Enrollment application if such transfer contributes to an adverse effect away from equalization of minority enrollment within the school.
- 4. Perhaps partial funding could be provided to students whose transfers tend to equalize minority enrollment within the district. Further study should be made next year regarding the feasibility of this recommendation.

Respectfully submitted,

McDuffie Bryant, Chairperson
Joyce Damron
Tom DeSelm
Ann Gregg
Robert Kelley
Bill Lucero
Mike Meadows
Myron Seeley
Jackie Wright

TABLE I

PERCENTAGES OF MINORITY CHILDREN IN TOPEKA PUBLIC SCHOOLS (Excluding Head Start and Follow Through Programs) 1979-1980

Elementary		Junior High		Senior High
Belvoir	74.26	East Topeka	71.38	Utahland Dawle
Lafayette	62.24	Highland Park	43.92	Highland Park
Highland Park North	62.13	Boswell	42.53	Topeka High
Quinton Heights	50.23	Eisenhower	34.71	Topeka West
Po1k*	48.00	Holliday	25.00	
Lowman Hill	43.13	Roosevelt	19.53	
Central Park	42.90	Jardine	8.84	
Hudson	34.04	French	6.19	
Avondale East	32.86	Landon	4.03	
Rice	26.43	Euridon	4.03	
Sumner	26.29	City-Wide**	25.05	
Highland Park South	25.71	or cy ande	29.05	
Highland Park Central	25.64			
State Street	24.76			
Linn	15.74			
Quincy	15.08			
Avondale Southwest (Shaner)	14.44			
McEachron	10.65			
Avondale West	8.57			
Bishop	8.57			
McCarter	8.41			
Lundgren	8.33			
Stout	7.14			
Gage :	5.61			
McClure	5.23			- 12 - 2 Mai 3 /3 - 1
Crestview	4.79	그 백일도 생활성하다 하나는 다		TABLE HE STATE
Whitson	4.08			
Potwin	3.82	김성계는 경기에서 보험하다.	* From 1978-79 sc	chool year data.
Randolph	3.09		** These percentag	ges were used to

21.39

City-Wide**

36.76 29.76

^{*} From 1978-79 school year data.

** These percentages were used to represent unassigned students in determining transfer percentage differentials.

TABLE II

CHANGES IN STUDENT DISTRIBUTION WITHIN USD 501 AS AFFECTED BY OPEN ENROLLMENT APPLICATIONS

	S White Student. Applications	S Minority Student Applications	7 Total Student Applications	at the	Minority Enrollment		Minority Enrollment		Total (Polarization)	n t	Minority Enrollment	Minority Students to Louer	Minority Enrollment		Total (Integration)		NET CHAN	IGE
ELEMENTARY	No. 213	No. 63	No. 276	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	1 %	DIRECTION
5% and great		-	-	101	47.42	26	41.27	126	45.65	31	14.55	24	38.10	55	19.93	71	25.72	Polarizatio
10% and great			-	78	36.62	21	33.33	99	35.87	14	6.57	20	31.75	36	13.04	63	22.83	Polarizati
20% and gre		-	-	73	34.27	19	30.16	92	33,33	13	6.10	15	23.81	28	10.14	64	23.19	Polarizatio
	===	10	101	59	27.70	13	20.63	72	26.09	8	3.76	12	19.05	20	7.25	52	18.84	Polarization
JR. HIGH 5%	94	10	104	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	% .	No.	%	
10%			-	63 53	67.02	0	0.00	63	60.58	7	7.45	8	80.00	15	14.42	48	46.15	Polarizatio
15%				52	56.38 55.32	0	0.00	53 52	50.97	5	5.32	4	40.00	9	8.65	44	42.31	Polarizatio
20%				31	32.98	0	0.00	31	50.00	5 4	5.32	4	40.00	9	8.65	43	41.35	Polarizatio
SR. HIGH	54	26	80	No.	%						4.26	3	30.00	7	6.73	24	23.08	Polarizatio
5%	::	20	00	31	57.41	No. 15	% 57.69	No.	% E7 50	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	1.36
10%				16	29.63	1	3.85	17	57.50 21.25	17	42.59	11	42.30	34	42.50	12	15.00	Polarizatio
15%				1	29.63	1	3.85	17	21.25	17	31.48	0	0.00	17	21.25	0	0.00	no chana
20%					29.63	1	3.85	17		17	31.48	0	0.00	17 17	21.25	0	0.00	no chana
TOTAL	361	99	460	No.		No.	%	No.	%	The second second	of the state of th	- It was the Align	** * * #50 0.7 (*) *		21.25	0	0.00	no chang
5% .			100			41	41.41	236	51.30	No. ·	% 16.90	No.	% 42.42	No.	%	No.	%	
10%						22	22.22	190	36.96	36	9.97	24	43.43	60	22.61	132	28.70	Polarizatio
15%					39.34		20.20	162	35.22	35	9.70	19	19.19	54	13.04	110	23.91	Polarizatio
20%		i rui			29.36			120		29	8.03		15.15	44	9.57	108 76	23.48	Polarization Polarization

TABLE III

CHANGES IN STUDENT DISTRIBUTION WITHIN USD 501 AS AFFECTED BY OTHER TRANSFER APPLICATIONS

	White Student Applications	Minority Student Applications	Z Total Student Applications		White Students to Lower Minority Enrollment	Minority Students to			otal arization)	lite Students t	rity Enrollm	Minority Students to			otal egration)		NET CHAN	NGE
ELEMENTARY	No. 48	No. 35	No. 83	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	% .	No.	%	No.	%	No.	1 %	DIRECTION
5%				27	56,25	10	28.57	37	44.58	0	0.00	9	25.71	9	10.84	28	33.73	Polarization
10%				20	41.67	9	25.71	29	34.94	0	0.00	7	20.00	7	8.43	22	26.51	Polarization
. 15%				18	37.50	7	20.00	25	30.12	0	0.00	4	11.43	4	4.82	21	25.30	Polarization
20%				12	25.00	5	14.29	17	20.48	0	0.00	-4	11.43	4	4.82	13	15.66	Polarization
JR. HIGH	No. 57	No. 26	No. 83	No.	%	No.	%	No.	. %	No.	· %.	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
5%				37	64.91	5	19.23	42	50.60	9	15.79	21	80.77	30	36.14	12	14.46	Polarization
10%				31	54.39	0	0.00	31	37.35	6	10.53	20	76.92	26	31.33	5	6 .02	Polarization
15%				30	52.63	0	0.00	30	36.14	5	8.77	20	76.92	25	30.12	5	6 '.02	Polarization
20%		1017		28	49.12	0	0.00	28	33.73	4	7.01	15	57.69	19	22.89	9	10.84	Polarization
SR. HIGH	No. 67	No. 27	No. 94	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
5%				46	68.66	19	70.37	65	69.15	21	31.34	8	29.63	29	30.85	36	38.30	Polarization
10%	:	1		41	61.19	1	3.70	42	44.68	9	13.43	7	25.93	16	17.02	26	27.66	Polarization
15%	<u> </u>			41	61.19	1	3.70	42	44.68	9	13.43	7	25.93	16	17.02	26	27.66	Polarization
20%		all aminated and		41	61.19	1	3.70	42	44.68	9	13.43	7	25.93	16	17.02	26	27.66	Polarization
TOTAL	No. 172	No. 88	No. 260	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
5%				110	63,95	34	38.64	144.	55:38	30	17.44	38	43.18	68	26.15	76	29.23	Polarization
10%				92	53.49	10	11.36	102	39.23	15	8.72	34	38.64	49	18.85	53	20.38	Polarization
15%				89	51:74	8	9.09	. 97	37.31	14	8.14	31	35.23	45	17.31	52	20.00	Polarization
20%				81	47.09	6	6.82	87	33.46	13	7.56	26	29.55	39	15.00	48	18.46	Polarization

TABLE IV

POLARIZATION OF USD 501 DUE TO TRANSFER APPLICATIONS

		fer Appli	on Due t cations	0	Education Coop., Alternative Education, and Capital City Enrollments Excluded)	Overall Polarization Percentage Differential Percentage				
	Dif	ferential	Percent	age						
OPEN ENROLLMENT	5%	10%	15%	20%		5%	10%	15%	20%	
Elementary	71	63	64	52	8,379	0.85	0.75	0.76	0.62	
Jr. High	48	44	43	24	3,709	1.29	1.19	1.16	0:65	
Sr. High	12	0	0	0	3,724	0.32	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
TOTAL	132	110	108	76	15,812	0.83	0.70	.0.68	0.48	
OTHER TRANSFERS										
Elementary	28	22	21	13	8,379	0.33	0.26	0.25	0.16	
Jr. High	12	5	. 5	9	3,709 .	0.32	0.13	0.13	0.24	
Sr. High	36	26	26	26	3,724	.0.97	0.70	0.70	0.70	
TOTAL	76	53	52	48	15,812	0.48	0,34	0.33	0.30	
ALL TRANSFERS		74								
Elementary	99	85 ·	. 85	65	8,379	1.18	1.01	1.01	0.78	
Jr. High	60	49	48	33	3,709	1.62	1.32	1.29	0.89	
Sr. High	48	26	26	26	3,724	1.29	0.70	0.70	0.70	
TOTAL	208	163	160	124	15,812	1.32	1.03	1.01	0.78	

TABLE V
USD 501 STUDENT TRANSFER APPLICATIONS

OPEN ENROLLMENT	White Student Applications	Minority Student. Applications	Total Student Applications	White Enrollment in USD 501*	Minority Enrollment in USD 501*	Total Enrollment in USD 501*	Percentage of White Student Applications	Percentage of Minority Student Applications	Percentage all Student Application
Elementary	213	63	276	6,587	1,792	8,379	3.23	3.52	3.29
Jr. High	94	10	104	2,780	929	3,709	3.38	1.08	2.80
Sr. High	54	26	80	2,866	858	3,724	1.88	3.03	2.15
TOTAL	361	99	460	12,233	3,579	15,812	2.95	2.77	2.91
OTHER TRANSFERS	48	35	83	6,587	1,792	8,379	0.73	1.95	0.99
Jr. High	57	26	83	2,780	929	3,709	2.05	2.80	2.24
Sr. High	67	27	94	2,866	858	3,724	2.34	3.15	2.52
TOTAL	172	88	260	12,233	3,579	15,812	1.41	2.46 .	1.64
ALL TRANSFERS Elementary	: 261	98	.359	6,587	1,792	8,379	3.96	5.47	4.28
Jr. High	151	36	187	2,780	929	3,709	5.43	3.88	5.04
Sr. High	121	53	174	2,866	858	3,724	4.22	6.18	4.67
TOTAL	533	187	720	12,233	3,579	15,812	4.36	5.22	4.55

^{*}Does not include Head Start, Follow Through, Special Education Coop., Alternative Education, and Capital City Enrollments

APPENDIX



- SCHOOL TRANSFER INFORMATION -

- A. Summary of 1980-81 Attendance Area Exceptions by Approved Transfer for the period of 4-8-80 thru 8-29-80.
- B. Summary of 1980-81 Attendance Area Exceptions Approved by Waiver of Policy to Permit Continued Enrollment in Receiving School Attended During the 79-80 School Year for Application Period of 4-8-80 thru 8-29-80.
- C. Racial Inventory for USD 501 Students September 15, 1980.
- D. December 5, 1980 Memo Re: Student Transfer Information.
- E. December 12,1980 Memo Re: Additional Student Transfer Information.

Submitted by: Gerald A. Miller Dir. of Demographic Services 12-31-80

STUDENT TRANSFERS

Mr. Miller presented to the Board requests for student enrollment transfers received during the period of May 12 through May 30. Mr. Miller explained that there have been 25 elementary, 4 middle school and 22 senior high additional requests with a grand total of 969 requests since the beginning of the period on April 8.

Patrons Bill Smith, Sherry Hartenberger, Tom DeSelm, Dr. Birdzik, Marilyn Brobst, Jean Green and Judy Bizoe spoke to the Board of their concerns towards the implementation of the Board's transfer policy. Many reiterated previous concerns regarding day care situations and interruption of attendance in a particular school. Others spoke to the inequality of allowing transfers within certain schools and denying them from others and of their feelings that denying them from all schools would be more fair.

Mr. Freeman stated that the Board's transfer policy was good but perhaps the implementation of that policy on May 5 had been a mistake. He stated that he felt that the individual situations have been overlooked and perhaps the Board needs to temper that decision.

Motion by Mr. Freeman, seconded by Mr. Taylor that the administrative staff be directed to prepare an approval/disapproval recommendation that takes into account the effect upon the individuals involved as opposed to the decision made on May 5.

The Board discussed how this motion could be implemented and still consider underlying facts such as sensitivity to individual needs, the needs of the patrons of the District, and the emotional appeals which would be encountered.

Mr. Taylor clarified his second to the motion by stating that he was concerned about the commitment the Board had made on January 2 to the area bounded by Oakley and MacVicar and 6th and 10th Streets in allowing the students concerned to choose either Landon or Robinson Middle Schools.

Mr. Douglas stated that it was not difficult for him to change his mind on that consideration after he had been presented with additional facts on that situation.

Motion failed three in favor, Mr. Douglas, Mr. Oden, Ms. Thompson, Ms. Boggs, opposed.

Motion by Ms. Thompson, seconded by Mr. Taylor that all applications for transfer of enrollment which fall within Priority I and II of Board Policy 10300 will be approved for one year. All applications which fall within Priority III will be approved or denied according to the criteria the Board adopted on May 5, 1980. (per attached sheet)

Amendment to the motion by Mr. Pomeroy, seconded by Mr. Freeman to also allow any sixth grade student pre-enrolled in a middle school to attend that school.

Amendment failed three in favor, Mr. Douglas, Mr. Oden, Mr. Taylor and Ms. Boggs opposed.

Mr. Douglas, Mr. Oden and Ms. Boggs expressed their opinion that the motion and the resulting action would simply delay the decision for another year. That while it may satisfy a group of patrons this year, next year there would be another group with concerns of equal importance and that the time to act is now, not in the future.

Motion carried four in favor, Ms. Boggs, Mr. Oden and Mr. Douglas opposed.

Motion by Mr. Pomeroy to treat day care center the same as residence. Motion died for lack of a second.

Motion by Ms. Boggs, seconded by Ms. Thompson that Board of Education Policy 10300, Page 4. Section V-C be revised to read that secondary school students who have been approved for voluntary transfer of enrollment shall be permitted to participate in interscholastic activities in accordance with Kansas State High School Activities Association regulations. Motion carried unanimously.

Motion by Mr. Pomeroy that if a child completes one year in a school that child be allowed to remain enrolled at that school until completion of the final grade. Motion died for lack of a second.

Motion by Mr. Pomeroy, seconded by Ms. Boggs that no students be allowed to transfer into a school which uses portable classrooms.

It was the consensus of the Board that this item needs further study before action should be considered.

Motion by Ms. Thompson, seconded by Mr. Pomeroy to table this motion for future consideration. Motion carried unanimously.

OUTGOING	SCHOOL TRA	NSFERS		INCOMING	SCHOOL TRAN	SFERS
MINORITY	MAJORITY	TOTAL TRANSFERS OUT		MINORITY	MAJORITY	TOTAL TRANSFERS IN
			SCHOOL: AVONDALE EAST			
			NUMBER		4	4
			PERCENTAGES		100.00%	100.00%
			SCHOOL: AVONDALE WEST			
6		6	NUMBER		- 18	18
100.00%		100.00%	PERCENTAGES		100.00%	100.00%
			SCHOOL: BELVOIR			
4	10	14	NUMBER	1		1
28.57%	71.43%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES	100.00%		100.00%
			SCHOOL: BISHOP			
	4	. 4	NUMBER	3	1	4
	100.00%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES	. 75.00%	25.00%	100.00%
			SCHOOL: CRESTVIEW			
1	5	6	NUMBER	1	12	13
16.67%	83.33%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES	7.69%	92.31%	100.00%
7			SCHOOL: GAGE			
			NUMBER		24	24
			PERCENTAGES		100.00%	100.00%
			SCHOOL: H.P. CENTRAL			
			NUMBER		11	11
		r.	PERCENTAGES		100.00%	100.00%
			SCHOOL: H.P. NORTH	4		
6	16	22	NUMBER	2		2
27.27%	72.73%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES	100.00%		100.00%

		TOTAL				TOTAL
MINORITY	MAJORITY	TRANSFERS		MINORITY	MAJORITY	TRANSFER: IN
			SCHOOL: H.P. SOUTH			
4		4	NUMBER		4	4
100.00%		10.0.00%	PERCENTAGES		100.00%	100.00%
			SCHOOL: HUDSON	/ /		
2	1	3	NUMBER		5	5
66.67%	33.33%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES		100.00%	100.00%
			SCHOOL: LAFAYETTE			
2	8	10	NUMBER	15	5	20
20.00%	80.00%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES	75.00%	25.00%	100.00%
			school: LINN			
	2	2	NUMBER			
	100.00%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES			
			SCHOOL: LOWMAN HILL		wa ir	
2 '	. 40	42	NUMBER	13	1	14
4.76%	95.24%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES	92.86%	7.14%	100.00%
			SCHOOL: LUNDGREN			
			NUMBER		4	4
			PERCENTAGES		100.00%	100.00%
			SCHOOL: McCARTER			
	10	10	NUMBER	1	5	6
	100.00%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES	16.67%	83.33%	100.00%
			SCHOOL: McCLURE			
	1	1	NUMBER		8	8
	100.00%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES		100.00%	100.00%

OUTGOING	SCHOOL TRA	NSFERS		INCOMING	SCHOOL TRAN	SFEKS
MINORITY	MAJORITY	TOTAL TRANSFERS OUT		MINORITY	MAJORITY	TOTAL TRANSFER IN
			SCHOOL: McEACHRON			
	5	5	NUMBER			
	100.00%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES			
			school: POTWIN			
	1	1	NUMBER		10	10
	100.00%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES		100.00%	100.00%
			SCHOOL: QUINCY			
2		2	NUMBER			
100.00%		100.00%	PERCENTAGES			
			SCHOOL: QUINTON HEIGHTS			
3	19	22	NUMBER	6		6
13.64%	86.36%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES	100.00%		100.00%
			SCHOOL: RANDOLPH			
5	6	11	NUMBER		12	12
45.45%	54.55%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES		100.00%	100.00%
			SCHOOL: RICE			
4		4	NUMBER		3	3
100.00%		100.00%	PERCENTAGES		100.00%	100.00%
			SCHOOL: SHANER			
1	14	15	NUMBER	1	3	4
6.67%	93.33%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES	25.00%	75.00%	100.00%
			SCHOOL: STATE STREET			
	1	1	NUMBER		2	2
	100.00%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES		100.00%	100.00%

INCOMING SCHOOL TRANSFERS OUTGOING SCHOOL TRANSFERS TOTAL TOTAL MAJORITY MINORITY TRANSFERS MINORITY MAJORITY TRANSFERS IN OUT SCHOOL: . .STOUT NUMBER 3. 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% PERCENTAGES SCHOOL: SUMNER .4 5 . 7 7 1 NUMBER 100.00% 20.00% 80.00% 100.00% 100.00% PERCENTAGES SCHOOL: WHITSON NUMBER 6 100.00% 100.00% PERCENTAGES 100.00% 100.00%

			SCHOOL: ELEMENTARY		1 . 1 . 637	
43	156	199	NUMBER	43	156	199
21.61%	78.39%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES	21.61%	78.39%	100.00%

	SCHOOL TRA			INCOMING	SCHOOL TRANS	
MINORITY	MAJORITY	TOTAL TRANSFERS OUT		MINORITY	MAJORITY	TOTAL TRANSFER IN
	14/5		SCHOOL: CHASE			America Hi
2	1	3	NUMBER		1	1
66.67%	33.33%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES		100.00%	100.00%
		7,	SCHOOL: EISENHOWER		4.4	
	4	- 4	NUMBER	2	1	3
	100.00%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES	66.67%	33.33%	100.00%
			SCHOOL: FRENCH			
1	5	.6	NUMBER		15	15
16.67%	83.33%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES		100.00%	100.00%
			SCHOOL: JARDINE			
	13	13	NUMBER			r wale
	100.00%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES			
			SCHOOL: LANDON			
Ware!	. 2	2	NUMBER	1	14	15
	100.00%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES	6.67%	93.33%	100.00%
		F	SCHOOL: ROBINSON			
	8	8	NUMBER		2	2
	100.00%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES		100.00%	100.00%
		F : 'F'	TOTAL SCHOOL: MIDDLE SCHOOLS			
3	33	36	NUMBER	3	33	36
8.33%	91.67%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES	8.33%	91.67%	100.00%
			SCHOOL: GRAND TOTAL			
46	189	235	NUMBER	46	189	235
19.57%	80.43%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES	19.57%	80.43%	100.00%

The Superintendent or his designee is directed to act upon requests for transfer of student enrollment as follows:

 Applications of <u>majority</u> students to transfer out of Belvoir, H. P. North, Lafayette, Lowman Hill, and Quinton Hgts elementary schools and Eisenhower middle school shall be denied.

Applications of minority students to transfer out of these schools shall be approved provided such students seek to attend a school other than one of these schools.

No transfer of a $\underline{\text{minority}}$ student into any of these schools will be approved.

II. Applications of minority students to transfer out of Avondale West, Crestview, Gage, McClure, Potwin and Whitson elementary schools and French, Jardine and Landon middle schools shall be denied.

Applications of <u>majority</u> students to transfer out of these schools shall be approved, provided such students seek to attend a school other than one of these schools.

No transfer of a $\underline{\text{majority}}$ student into any of these schools will be approved.

All other applications to transfer received between April 8 - 25 shall be approved.

MINORITY	MAJORITY	TOTAL TRANSFERS OUT		MINORITY	MAJORITY	TOTAL TRANSFERS IN
			SCHOOL: AVONDALE EAST		e de la seconda	ar can be seen a
7	22	29	NUMBER	14	12	26
24.14%	75.86%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES	53.85%	46.15%	100.00%
			SCHOOL: AVONDALE WEST			
	25	25	NUMBER	3		3
	100.00%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES	100.00%		100.00%
			SCHOOL: BELVOIR			4.4
12		12	NUMBER			
100.00%		100.00%	PERCENTAGES			
			SCHOOL: BISHOP			
3	35	38	NUMBER		39	39
7.89%	92.11%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES		100.00%	100.00%
			SCHOOL: CRESTVIEW			
1	23	24	NUMBER	1		1
04.17%.	95.83%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES	100.00%		100.00%
			SCHOOL: GAGE			
0	5	5	NUMBER	2.	1	3
4	100.00%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES	66.67%	33.33%	100.00%
			SCHOOL: H.P. CENTRAL			
14	16	30	NUMBER.	36	23	59
46.67%	53.33%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES	61,02%	38.98%	100.00%
			SCHOOL: H.P. NORTH			
22		22	NUMBER		2	2
100.00%		100.00%	PERCENTAGES		100.00%	100.00%

With the second of the second second second

MINORITY		TOTAL TRANSFERS OUT		MINORITY	MAJORITY	TOTAL TRANSFERS IN
			SCHOOL: H.P. SOUTH			
14	23	37	NUMBER	10	14	24
37.84%	62.16%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES	41.67%	58.33%	100.00%
			SCHOOL: HUDSON			
14	9	23	NUMBER	15	10	25
60.87%	39.13%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES	60.00%	40.00%	100.00%
			SCHOOL: LAFAYETTE			
10		10	NUMBER	1	3	4
100.00%		100.00%	PERCENTAGES	25.00%	75.00%	100.00%
			SCHOOL: LINN			
	15	15	NUMBER	5	24	29
	100.00%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES	17.24%	82.76%	100.00%
			SCHOOL: LOWMAN HILL		Sider in	
9	2	11	NUMBER		14	14
81.82%	18.18%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES		100.00%	100.00%
			SCHOOL: LUNDGREN			
4	8	12	NUMBER		21	21
33.33%	66.67%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES		100.00%	100.00%
			SCHOOL: McCARTER			
ALKERIA.	12	12	NUMBER		23	23
	100.00%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES		100.00%	100.00%
			SCHOOL: McCLURE			
	10	10	NUMBER			
	100.00%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES			

MINORITY.	MAJORITY	TOTAL TRANSFERS OUT		MINORITY	MAJORITY	TOTAL
			SCHOOL: McEACHRON			
	7	7	NUMBER	8	45	53
	100.00%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES	15.09%	84.91%	100.00%
			SCHOOL: POTWIN			
	5	5	NUMBER	2		2
	100.00%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES	100.00%		100.00%
			SCHOOL: QUINCY			
1	10	11	NUMBER	1	. 5	6
9.09%	90.91%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES	16.67%	83.33%	100.00%
			SCHOOL: QUINTON HEIGHTS			
5		5	NUMBER		6	6
100.00%		100.00%	PERCENTAGES		100.00%	100.00%
			SCHOOL: RANDOLPH		* 1	
3	11	14	NUMBER	10	9	19
21.43%	78.57%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES	52.63%	47.37%	100.00%
			SCHOOL: RICE	- 344 <u>4</u>		
5	7	. 12	NUMBER	3	1	4
41.67%	58.83%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES	75.00%	25.00%	100.00%
			SCHOOL: SHANER		1975 P. 1986 P. 1	ALY - Ga
9,	. 26	35	NUMBER		20	20
25.71%	74.29%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES		100.00%	100.00%
			SCHOOL: STATE STREET		in the same	
	14	14	NUMBER	15	21	36
	100.00%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES	41.67%	58.33%	100.00%

Contraction of the contraction o

MINORITY	MAJORITY	TOTAL TRANSFERS OUT		MINORITY	MAJORITY	TOTAL TRANSFERS IN
			SCHOOL: STOUT			
1	.8	9	NUMBER	8	10	18
11.11%	88.89%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES	44.44%	55.56%	100.00%
			SCHOOL: SUMNER			
3	15	18	NUMBER	2	15	17
16.67%	83.33%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES	11.76%	88.24%	100.00%
			SCHOOL: WHITSON			
	- 7	7	NUMBER	1		1
	100.00%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES	100.00%		100.00%
			SCHOOL: OUT OF DISTRICT			
	3	3	NUMBER			
	100.00%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES			

			TOTAL SCHOOL: ELEMENTARY			
137	318	455	NUMBER	137	318	455
30.11%	69.89%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES	30.11%	69.89%	100.00%

51,85%	48.15%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES	51.85%	48.15%	100.00%
14	13	27	SCHOOL: MIDDLE SCHOOLS NUMBER	14	13	27
			TOTAL			
66.67%	33.33%	100.00%	. PERCENTAGES		100.00%	100.00%
· . 2	2 1 1	3.	NUMBER		5	5
			SCHOOL: ROBINSON			
			PERCENTAGES	50.00%	50.00%	100.00%
			NUMBER	2	2	4
			SCHOOL: LANDON			
	100.00%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES	50.00%	50.00%	100.00%
	8	8	NUMBER	1	1	2
			SCHOOL: JARDINE			V- <u>V</u>
	100.00%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES		100.00%	100.00%
	1	I	NUMBER		1	1
			SCHOOL: FRENCH			
92.31%	7.69%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES		100.00%	100.00%
12	1	13	NUMBER		3	3
			SCHOOL: EISENHOWER			
	100.00%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES	91.67	8.33%	100.00%
	2	2	NUMBER	11	1	12
	91.2 P. 19		SCHOOL: CHASE		2-30-3494 acs	
MINORITY	MAJORITY	TOTAL TRANSFERS OUT		MINORITY	MAJORITY	TOTAL TRANSFER IN

MINORITY	MAJORITY	TOTAL TRANSFERS OUT		MINORITY	MAJORITY	TOTAL TRANSFERS IN
	4.4		SCHOOL: H.P. HIGH		5 5 3. The 13	
50	107	157-	NUMBER	57	18	75
31.85%	68.15%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES	76.00%	24.00%	100.00%
			SCHOOL: TOPEKA HIGH			
66	93	159	NUMBER	55	149	204
41.51%	58.49%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES	26.96%	73.04%	100.00%
			SCHOOL: TOPEKA WEST			
6	57	63	NUMBER	10	- 90	100
9.52%	90.48%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES	10.00%	90.00%	100.00%

			SCHOOL: HIGH SCHOOLS			
122	257	379	NUMBER	122	257	379
32.19%.	67.81%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES	32.19%	67.81%	100.00%

F				SCHOOL: GRAND TOTAL			
L	273	588	861	NUMBER	273	588	861
	31.71%	68.29%	100.00%	PERCENTAGES	31.71%	68.29%	100.00%

The Topeka Public Schools
Unified School District No. 501
Demographic Services
624 West 24th Street
Topeka, Kansas - 66611

December 5, 1980

MEMORANDUM:

TO:

Members of the Board of Education

FROM:

Gerald A. Miller, Dir. of Demographic Services

SUBJECT: Student Transfer Information

Attached to this memorandum are two sets of information pertaining to student transfer policy which we are sending to the DCAC-Student Transfer Study Committee.

The first set of information shows the minority percentage of the students enrolle in regular school programs according to the home school attendance area in which they and/or their natural parent or lawful custodian resided on September 15, 1980

The second set contains a summary of all student transfers approved for the 1980-8 school year during the period of April 8 - August 29, 1980. This report provides detailed information regarding the approved receiving school of each student that was permitted to transfer out, and the home school of each student that was permit to transfer in to each school. The number of minority students is shown with the letter "M" and the number of majority students is shown with the letter "W".

Follow Through (grades K-3) and special education students who reside in another school attendance area than the school in which they are enrolled do not require approved transfer and are not included in the information provided.

attachment (2)

mbt

MINORITY PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS RESIDING

The second secon

WITHIN EACH SCHOOL ATTENDANCE AREA ON

September 15, 1980

ELEMENTARY	MIDDLE	SENIOR HIGH
81.94 Belvoir		
64.96 Lafayette	있는 그 이 경우 그 이 경우를 가게 되었다.	
62.85 H. P. North	[1] : 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	
54.84 Quinton Heights		
43.90 Lowman Hill	45.51 Eisenhower	
36.80 Hudson	37.23 Chase	
35.84 Rice	33.17 Robinson	37.64 H. P. High
32.16 Sumner	SS.17 RODINSON	20 10 -
32.05 Avondale East		32.19 Topeka High
27.09 H. P. South		
22.50 State Street	화경우 회사 이 가는 하시 하는 중요요.) 경	
21.22 H. P. Central	그래요? 그 그렇게 보이는 아니라 맛이 없다.	
20.39 Stout	첫 마음이라고요하다 모든 바람이 회에게 되어야.	
20.32 Linn		
16.49 Shaner		
11.73 Quincy		
10.97 Lundgren	10.19 Jardine	
9.76 Randolph	10:19 Saldine	
9.59 McEachron		회사 (2015년 1일
8.95 Avondale West	열심이 가는 살을 보는 이 그리게 다 되었다. [2]	[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[
8.83 McCarter	김 선생님이 없는 것이 되었다.	
8.14 Bishop	5.21 French	/ 00
3.32 Gage	3.04 Landon	4.23 Topeka West
3.12 Crestview		
2.93 McClure & Potwin		

2.92 Whitson

JTGOING TRANSF	ERS TO				SCHOOL		INCOMING T	RANSFER	S FRO
			() .	AVO	NDALE EAST				
					DILLE BRIDE				, ·
H.P. Central	1-M,				V		Belvoir	2-M	
I.P. South	1-M,	3-W					H.P. Centra	1 2-M,	2-W
Hudson	3-M						H.P. South	6-M,	2-W
Linn	2-M,						H.P. North	2-M	
Lundgren		1-W					Linn		6-W
Quincy		2-W					Shaner	2-M,	2-W
Shaner		4-W							
Stout		1-W							
Sumner		1-W							
	7-M,	22-W						14-M	12-
				AVON	NDALE WEST				
lighan		1 / 17	- \				77 1	1 14	
Bishop McEachron		14-W					Hudson	1-M	
Shaner		1-W 10-W					Lafayette	1-M	
maner	-					,	Shaner	<u>1-M</u>	
		25-W						3-M	
				BE	ELVOIR				
vondale East	2-M								
I.P. Central	2-M								
I.P. South	1-M								
ludson	1-M								
afayette	1-M								
Randolph	2-M								
lice	1-M								
State	2-M	- 1							
	12-M								
.P. Central		2-W		BISH	IOP		Avondale We	et	14-W
I.P. South		1-W				 	Crestview		3-W
inn		1-W			1		Linn		1-W
Carter		1-W			4		McCarter	1	1-W
Tachron	3-M,	25-W					McClure		2-W
iner		1-W					McEachron		3-W
tout	7	4-W					Shaner .		8-W
		- No.					Stout		4-W
					(Sumner		2-W
							Whitson		1-W
	2.16	,35-W		× -			1 2		39-W

OUTGOING TRANSI	FERS TO	<u>0</u>	SCHOOL INCOMING TRANS	FERS	S FROM	
		-5 · F ·	CRESTVIEW			
Bishop		3-W	Lowman Hill 1-	-M	4.00	
P. Central	1-M,	4-W	선물이 계획을 하다니다 가장 보고 있는 이렇게 되었다면 하다. 그 그 없다		3-5-1	
.P. North		2-W	기가 제 되었는데, 가, 가, 가, 그는 스트로 살아가면 되어, 모드다는 것			
McCarter		9-W	마이에 많은 이번 모르는 그 그 얼마를 내려 먹다면 되었다.			
McEachron		5-W	그렇게 다꾸다니다 조기 그는 사람 여행하다는 나라도	_		
	1-M,	23-W	1	-M		
			GAGE			
Lowman Hill		1-W	TOTAL CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY O	-M,	1-W	
Randolph		1-W		,		
Stout		1-W				
Sumner		2-W				
		5-W	<u>2</u> -	-М,	1-W	
			H.P. CENTRAL			
Avondale East	2-M,	2-W	Avondale East 1	1_M	5-W	
H.P. South	4-M,	4-W		2-M	J-11	
Hudson	6-M,	4-W	Bishop	- 11	2-W	
Linn	· · · · · ·	3-W		1-M,	4-W	
Quinton Heights		1-W		4-M	7	
Shaner		1-W		7-M,	5-W	
State Street	2-M			2-M,	3-W	
Sumner		1-W		1-M	5 11	
		- "	Linn	_ 11	4-W	
		,	Quinton Heights	-5-M		
				3-M,		
	14-M,	16-W			23-W	
			H.P. NORTH			
Avondale East	2-M		Crestview		2-W	
H.P. Central	14-M		2.하고있다면 하다 하다 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그			
Hudson	- 2-M	2 No.	사무를 가게 되었다. 그 나는 것이 없는 그 그 그렇게 되었다는 사람이 있는 것			
McEachron	2-M		그리고요 : 이 사람이 있는데 그리고 그래요 바라이라면 그 모르는다.			
Rice	1-M		강하게 됐다. 그렇게 살려 보는 그런 그렇게 맛있네요? 그 모그네			
Stout	1-M		기업성에 받는 성분기 모르는 그 그는 중으로 기업하였다. 선생님이			
_	Desire the later than		다른 사람들이 보면 가는 사람들이 되었다. 그는 사람들은 사람들이 되었다면 그리고 있는 것이 없었다.			

OUTGOING TRANS	FERS TO	<u>o</u>	SCHOOL	INCOMING TRANSFERS FROM
			H.P. SOUTH	
vondale East	6-M,	2-W		Avondale East 1-M, 3-
P. Central		5-W		Belvoir 1-M
Hudson	,,	3-W		Bishop 1-
Linn		10-W		H.P. Central 4-M, 4-
Lundgren		2-W		Hudson 3-
State Street	1-M,			Linn .2-
State Street	1-11,	I-M		McCarter 1-
				Rice 4-M
	14-M,	23-W		10-M, 14-
			HUDSON	
Avondale West	1-M		HODOW	Avondale East 3-M
H.P. Central	2-M,	3-W		Belvoir 1-M
H.P. South	,	3-W		H.P. Central 6-M, 4-
Linn	1-M			H.P. North 2-M
McCarter		1-W	Y.	H.P. South 3-
McEachron	2-M			Lafayette 1-M
Randolph	3-M			Rice 1-M
Stout	5-M			State Street 3-
Sumner	3-M	2-W		Sumner 1-M
	14-M,	9-W		15-M, 10-
			LAFAYETTE	
Avondale West	1-M			Belvoir 1-M
H.P. Central	1-M		***	Rice 1-
Hudson	1-M			Sumner 2-
Quincy	1-M			Junio 1
Rice	1-M			
State Street	5-M			
	10-M			1-M, 3-1
			LINN	
A		6 17	LINN	Amenda 7 - Page 2 16 - F 1
Avondale East		6-W		Avondale East 2-M, 5-1
Bishop		1-W		Bishop 1-
H.P. Central		4-W		H.P. Central 3-
H.P. South		2-W		H.P. South
Shaner		1-W		Hudson 1-M
te Street		1-W		McClure 2-
Te prieer				
.ce Street				Shaner . 2-M, 3-1

			LOWMAN HILL		1 to the co
Crestview	1-M		TOTAL LALID	Gage	1-1
Gage	2-M,	1-W		McCarter	2-1
Potwin	1-M		되었다. 항상 발생하는 다음 그는 사람이 없다는	McClure	1-1
Kandolph	4-M,	1-W	이 불에 가득하는 사람들이 하게 되었다.	Quincy	1-1
Sumner	1-M			Randolph	9-1
	9-M,	2-W		Randolphi	14-1
V-0			LUNDGREN		
McCarter		1-W		Avondale East	1-1
Quincy		3-W		H.P. South	2-1
State Street	4-M,	4-W		Quincy	3-1
				Rice	1-1
				State Street	11-1
				Stout	2-1
				Sumner	1-1
	4-M,	8-W			21-1
			McCARTER		
Bishop		1-W		Bishop	1-7
H.P. South		1-W	Rancale in the	Crestview	9-1
Lowman Hill		2-W		Hudson	1-7
McEachron		3-W		Lundgren	1-7
Randolph		1-W		McClure	3-V
Shaner		2-W		McEachron	3-1
Sumner		2-W		Potwin	1-1
			12-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-1	Shaner	1-7
				Sumner	2-W
				Whitson	
				WIILSOIL	1-1
		L2-W			23-1
			McCLURE		
Bishop		2-W	TEODOTAL .		
Linn		2-W			
Lowman Hill		1-W			
AcCarter		3-W			

OUTGOING TRANS	FERS TO	SCHOOL.	INCOMING TRAN	SFERS	FROM
		McEACHRON			
Bishop	3-W		Avondale West		1-
McCarter	3-W		Bishop	3-M,	25-
Shaner	1-W		Crestview		5-
		[25] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2	H.P. North	2-M	
			Hudson	2-M	
				2-11	
			McCarter		3-
			McClure	1	2-
			Shaner	1-M,	6-
			Stout		1-
			Whitson		2-
	7-W			8-M	45-
McCarter		POTWIN			
	1-W		Sumner	1-M	
Randolph Sumner	1-W		Lowman Hill	1-M	
sumer	<u>3-W</u>				
	5-W			2-M	
				2-11	-
		QUINCY			
Lowman Hill	1-W	QUINOT	Avondale East		2-V
Lundgren	3-W		Lafayette	1 14	2-1
State Street	4-W			1-M	
Stout	1-W		Lundgren		3-1
Sumner	1-M, 1-W				
	1-M, 10-W				
	- 11, 10-W			1-M,	5-W
H.P. Central		QUINTON HEIGHTS			
i.r. central	5-M		H.P. Central		1-W
			Shaner	-	2-W
	-		Sumner		3-W
	5-M				
					6-W
owman Hill	9-W	RANDOLPH			
tout	2-M, 1-W			2-M	
umner	2-ri, 1-W		Gage		1-W
hitson				3-M	
	1-M			4-M,	1-W
			McCarter		1-W
			Potwin		1-W
			Stout	1-M	1-W
			Whitson		3-W
	Photography and the		Out-of-Distric	t	1-W

OUTGOING TRANSE	FERS TO		SCHOOL	INCOMING TRANSFERS	FROM
			RICE		
H.P. South	4-M			Belvoir 1-M	
Hudson	1-M			H.P. North 1-M	
afayette	A STA	1-W		Lafayette 1-M	
undgren		1-W		Sumner	1-1
State Street	5-M,	5-W		3-м,	1-1
	J-11,	7-4		3-M,	1-,
			SHANER		
Avondale East	2-M,	2-W		Avondale East	4-1
Avondale West	1-M			Avondale West	10-1
Bishop		8-W		Bishop	1-1
H.P. Central	3-M			H.P. Central	1-1
Linn	2-M,	3-W		Linn .	1-1
McCarter	,	1-W		McCarter	2-1
McEachron	1-M	6-W		McEachron	1-1
		2-W		nceachron	T-1
Quinton Heights	3				
Stout		2-W			
Sumner		2-W			
	9-M,	26-W			20-1
			STATE STREET		
Hudson		3-W		Belvoir 2-M	
Lundgren		11-W		H.P. Central 2-M	
Juna 6 I Ch		11 "		H.P. South 1-M,	1-1
					7-4
				Lafayette 5-M	1 .
				Linn	1-1
				Lundgren 4-M,	4-1
		•		Quincy	4-1
				Rice	5-1
				Sumner 1-M,	4-1
		***************************************		Out of Dist.	2-1
		14-W		15-M,	21-1
			STOUT		
Bishop		4-W		Avondale East	1-1
Lundgren		2-W		Bishop	4-1
1cEachron		1-W		Gage	1-1
Randolph	1-M,	1-W		H.P. North 1-M	
				Hudson 5-M	
				Quincy	1-1
				Randolph 2-M,	1-1
				Shaner .	2-1
		-			
	1-M,	8-W		8-M,	10-1

OUTGOING TRANSFERS TO		SCHOOL	INCOMING TRANSFERS FROM
		SUMNER	
Bishop	2-W	The state of the s	Avondale East 1-W
Hudson	1-M		Gage 2-W
fayette	2-W		H.P. Central
undgren	1-W		Hudson 2-W
McCarter	2-W		Lowman Hill 1-M,
Potwin	1-M		McCarter 2-W
Quinton Heights	3-W		Potwin 3-W
Rice	1-W	이 어디 사람이 되었다.	Quincy 1-M, 1-W
State Street	1-M, 4 W		Randolph 1-W
			Shaner 2-W
	3-M, 15-W		2-M, 15-W
	**************************************	WHITSON	
Bishop	1-W	WILLION	Randolph 1-M
McCarter	1-W		Randolph 1-11
McEachron	2-W		
Randolph	3-W		
	7-W		1-M
		OUT-OF-DISTRICT	
Randolph	1-W		
State Street	2-W		
	3-W	**	
			게 되고 하는 없네지 하나니?
<i>t</i>			
137 - M	318 - W	TOTAL ELEMENTARY = 455	137 - M 318 - W

44 - 1	W	TOTAL MIDDLE SCHOOLS = 62	18 - M	44 - 1	W
3-M,	8-W				7-W
			Eisenhower Jardine		2-W
2	0	ROBINSON		Ma	
	2-W			4-M,	14-1
		그리고 캬르기 보다 그 얼룩하는 사는 그 그를 만족	Robinson	3-M,	N-8
	2-W	LANDON	Eisenhower French	1-M.	1-V 5-V
	21-W			1-M,	1-1
				1.14	1 1
	13-W				
	1-W 2-W	JARDINE	Eisenhower	1-M,	1-7
I-M,	5-W				16-1
			Landon		13-V 2-V
1-M,	5-W	FRENCH	Chase		1-1
12-M,	5-W			2-M,	4-1
	1-W 2-W				
11-M, 1-M,			Chase Jardine	2-M,	2-
2-M.	1-W	[2시] (1) - 이 점점은 어린 그들이 그 경우는 그 하나 있는 것이 되었다. 이 그리고 하나요? 이 그를 되었다.	Jardine		1-
2-M,	2-W	CHASE	Eisenhower	11-M,	1-
	11-M, 1-M, 12-M, 1-M, 3-M,	2-M, 3-W 11-M, 1-W 1-W, 1-W 2-W 12-M, 5-W 1-M, 5-W 1-W, 2-W 13-W 5-W 21-W	2-M, 3-W 11-M, 1-W	2-M, 3-W EISENHOWER Chase Jardine -M, 1-W	2-M, 3-W

T.H.S.	50-M, 91-W	H.P. HIGH	T.H.S.	57-M, 18
T.W.H.S.	1-M, 15-W 51-M,106-W			57-M, 18
		T.H.S.		
H.P.H.S. T.W.H.S.	57-M, 18-W 10-M, 74-W		H.P.H.S. T.W.H.S.	50-M, 91 6-M, 57
	67-M, 92-W			56-M, 148
		T.W.H.S.		
T.H.S.	6-M, 57-W		H.P.H.S. T.H.S.	1-M 15 10-M, 74
	6-M, 57-W			11-M, 89
124 - M	255 - W	TOTAL SENIOR HIGH = 379	124 - M	255 - W

279	- M	617 - W	

GRAND TOTAL = 896

279 - M 6:

617 - W

"M" = Minority Students

"W" = Majority Students (Caucasian)

DS 12-23-80 - C

C

SUMMARY OF APPROVED MIDDLE SCHOOL STUDENT TRANSFERS 1980-81 FOR PERIOD OF APRIL 8 - AUGUST 29, 1980

	TRANSF	ERS-OUT	TRANSF	ERS-IN		
	MIN.	MAJ.	MIN.	MAJ.		
TYPES OF TRANSFER BY PRIORITY					TOTAL TR	ANSFERS
I. Parent Moved		1		1		1
II-A Returned to 1979-80 School	5	36	5	36,		41
III-A First Enrollment to Receiving School	13	7	13	7		20
TOTALS	18	44	18	44		62
Percent of Total Transfers	29.03%	70.97%	29.03%	70.97%		.00%
NO. OF TRANSFERS BY SCHOOL BY MINORITY AND MAJORITY						IANGE IN
					MIN.	MAJ.
Chase Eisenhower French Jardine Landon Robinson	2 12 1 0 0 3	3 5 5 21 2 8	11 2 0 1 - 4 0	2 4 16 1 14 7	+ 9 -10 - 1 + 1 + 4 - 3	- 1 - 1 +11 -20 +12 - 1
TOTALS	18	44	18	44	0	0
NO. OF TRANSFERS PER SCHOOL						ANGE IN
Chase Eisenhower French Jardine Landon Robinson	2 12 1 0 0 3	3 5 5 21 2 8	11 2 0 1 4 0	2 4 16 1 14 7	- + - +	- 8 -11 -10 -19 -16 - 4
TOTALS	18	44	18	44		0

SUMMARY OF APPROVED SENIOR HIGH STUDENT TRANSFERS 1980-81 FOR PERIOD OF APRIL 8 - AUGUST 29, 1980

	TRANSF	ERS-OUT	TRANSF	ERS-IN		
	MIN.	MAJ.	MIN.	MAJ.		
TYPES OF TRANSFER BY PRIORITY					TOTAL TI	RANSFERS
I. Parent Moved		1		1		1
II-A Returned to 1979-80 School	53	128	53	128		181
III-A First Enrollment Transfer to Receiving School	71	126	71	126		197
TOTAL APPROVED	124	255	124	255	THE SECTION	379
Percent of Total Transfers.	32.72%	67.28%	32.72%	67.28%		.00.00%
NO. OF TRANSFERS BY SCHOOL					NET CH SCHOOL B	ANGE IN
Highland Park High	51	106	57	18		- 82
Topeka High	67	92	56	148		+ 45
Topeka West	6 —	57	11	89		+ 37
TOTALS	124	255	124 -	255 ·		0
TYPES OF TRANSFER - MIN./MAJ.					net	CHANGE
			91		MIN.	MAJ.
Highland Park High NO. % of Dist. Applications	51 41.13%	106 41.57%	57 45.97%	18 7.06%	+ 6	-88
TOPEKA HIGH NO. % of Dist. Applications	67 54.03%	92 36.08%	56 45.16%	148 58.04%	-11	+56
Topeka West High NO. % of Dist. Applications	6 4.84%	57 22.35%	11 8.87%	89 34.90%	+ 5	+32
TOTAL DISTRICT NO. PERCENTAGES	124 100.00%	255 100.00%	124 100.00%	255 100.00%	0	0

SUMMARY OF APPROVED MIDDLE SCHOOL STUDENT TRANSFERS 1980-81 FOR PERIOD OF APRIL 8 - AUGUST 29, 1980

		MAJ.	MIN.	MAJ.		ANGE IN
YPES OF TRANSFER - MIN./MAJ. CHASE NO.				· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		ANGE IN
NO.			1		00110011 111	NROLLMENT
NO.		n r			MIN.	MAJ.
NO.	. 1		_			
% of bisc. Applications 11.	2 10%	3 6.82%	11 61.11%	2 4.55%	+ 9	- 1
EISENHOWER	- 4					
	2 67%	5 11.36%	2 11.11%	9.09%	-10	- 1
FRENCH				•		
NO.	1 56%	5 11.36%	0 -	16 36.36%	- 1	+11
JARDINE						
m	0	21 47.73%	1. 5.56%	2.27%	+1	-20
LANDON			* * · · ·			
NO. % of Dist. Applications	0	2 4.55%	4 22.22%	14 31.82%	+ 4	+12
ROBINSON						
	3 67%	8 18.18%	0 -	7 15.91%	+ 5	- 1

NO. OF SCHOOL TRANSFER APPLICATIONS RECEIVED BY THE BOARD OF EDUCATION FOR THE PERIOD OF APRIL 8 - AUGUST 29, 1980

LEVELS	MINORITY	MAJORITY	TOTAL
Elementary School Less Cancellations	196	517 2	713 2
NET-ELEMENTARY	196	515	711
Middle School Less Cancellations	21	63 1	84 1
NET-MIDDLE SCHOOLS	21	62	83
Senior High School Less Cancellations	126	268 1	394 1
NET-SENIOR HIGH	126	267	393
GRAND TOTAL - ALL LEVELS Less Cancellations	343	848 4	1191 4
NET - ALL LEVELS Percentage	343 28.90%	- 844 71.10%	1187 100.00%

NO. OF SCHOOL TRANSFER APPLICATIONS DISAPPROVED BY THE BOARD OF EDUCATION FOR THE PERIOD OF APRIL 8 - AUGUST 29, 1980

LEVELS	MINORITY	MAJORITY	TOTAL
Elementary	16	41	57
Middle	3	18	21
Senior	2	12 .	14
TOTAL	21	71	92
Percentage	22.83%	77.17%	100.00%

SUMMARY OF THE EFFECT OF APPROVED APPLICATIONS FOR TRANSFER OF STUDENT ENROLLMENT RECEIVED DURING THE PERIOD OF APRIL 8 - AUGUST 29, 1980

SCHOOL	9-17-79 MINORITY		TRANSFERS	RESULTING MINORITY	10-9-80 MINORITY	9-15-80 TOTAL
	PERCENTAGE	NO. OF	NO. OF	PERCENTAGE	PERCENTAGE	ENROLLMEN
ELEMENTARY		MINORITY	MAJORITY			
Avondale East	32.2	+ 5	- 6	34.3	32.8	323
Avondale West	8.6	- 3	- 7	7,7	12.7	309
Belvoir	67.2	- 13	- 10	69.1	75.3	200
ishop	8.9	0	+ 4	8.8	7.4	429
restview	5.2	- 2	- 17	4.9	5.5	356
Gage) 6.2	+ 2	+ 19	6.4	8.8	280
H. P. Central FT	38.0	+ 16	+ 13	38.9	39.8	439
H. P. North	58.4	- 22	- 12	57.3	60.3	260
H. P. South	26.3	- 6	- 2	25.3	26.8	364
Hudson	35.6	- 2	+ 5	34.2	35.7	233
Lafayette FT	63.9	+ 4	0	64.2	61.8	400
Linn FT	19.5	+ 5	+ 4	21.1	33.5	250
Lowman Hill	41.3 a	+ 6	- 25	46.3	43.9	346
Lundgren	9.4	_ 4	+ 15	7.4	9.4	254
McCarter	9.3	+ 1	+ 7	9.4	10.2	402
McClure	5.4	0	- 3 .	5.6	2.8	400
McEachron	10.9	+ 8	+ 31	12.0	11.1	349
Potwin	4.3	+ 1	+ 4	4.5	3.8	236
Quincy	15.1	$\frac{-}{-}$ $\frac{2}{2}$ $\frac{-}{2}$	- 5	14.9	12.5	340
Ouinton Heights	46.7	- 2	- 13	49.2	53.6	233
Randolph	10.9 a	+ 1	+ 4	10.9	9.8	414
Rice	31.5	- 6	- 3	29.2	32.0	151
Shaner	15.0	- 9	- 16	12.9	16.0	282
State Street	22.8	+ 15	+ 8	25.8	27.0	346
Stout	25.5 a	+ 7	+ 6	26.4	20.3	310
Sumner	31.4	- 1	+ 2	31.1	34.1	268
Whitson	4.1	+ 1	0	4.3	7.1	375
Non-resident	0	0	- 3	0.0	0	0
TOTALS - Elementary	22.6	0	0	22.6	23.9	8549
MIDDLE						
Chase	33.3 a	+_9_	1_	34.9	38.5	408
Eisenhower	42.1 a	- 10	- 1	41.0	45,4	480
French	6.2	- 1	+ 11	5.7	4.7	318
Jardine	8.5	+ 1	- 20	9.1	15.2	370
Landon	3.9	+ 4	+ 12	5.0	5.2	323
Robinson	33.1 a	- 3	- 1	32.7	33.2	427
TOTALS - Middle	23.0	0	0	23.0	26.1	2326
SENIOR HIGH						
Highland Park	34.7	+ 6	- 88	37.3	40.0	1458
opeka High	31.0	- 11	+ 56	29.5	30.8	1774
_opeka West	4.8	+ 5	+ 32	4.9	4.7	1576
TOTALS - Senior High		, 0	0	23.0	25.0	4808
DISTRICT TOTALS	23.7	0	0	23.7	24.5	15683

a - estimated due to change in attendance areas.

Indicates school that is closed to minority in-transfers & majority out-transfers.

Indicates school that is closed to majority in-transfers & minority out-transfers.

NOTE: All enrollment and minority percentage figures include special education students.

RACIAL INVENTORY FOR USD # 501 STUDENTS

September 15, 1980

SCHOOL		TOTAL ENROLL- MENT	CAU- CAUSIAN	NEGRO or BLACK	SPANISH SURNAMED or HISPANIC	AMERICAN INDIAN	ORIENTAL or ASIAN AMERICAN	OTHER	TOTAL MINORITY GROUP	MINORITY %	MALE	FEMALE
AMONDATE BACE		323	218	92	11			2	105	32.51	162	161
AVONDALE WEST		309	268	2/8	3	2	7	1	41	13.27	165	144
		201	49	(133)	16	3			152	75.62	110	91
BELVOIR BISHOP		429	398	17	10		4		31	7.23	211	218
CRESTVIEW		356	338	11	1	3	2	1	18	5.06	191	165
GAGE		277	263	6	2	2	4		14	5.05	138	139
H. P. CENTRAL	Pog	325	234	85	5	†		1	91	28.00	164	161
n. F. CENIKAL	Reg. F. T.	114	26	80	6	2			88	77.19	69	45
	Total	439	260	165	11	2		1	179	40.77	233	206
H. P. NORTH	TOLAT	260 V	111	127 V	18	4			149	57.31	125	135
		364	269	71	19	1		4	95	26.10	170	194
H. P. SOUTH		233	155	60	15	3	100		78	33.48	108	125
HUDSON	· Dea	314	131	143	38	-	2		183	58.28	140	174
LAFAYETTE	Reg. F. T.	86	24	53	9				62	72.09	50	36
	Total	400	155	196	47		2		245	61.25	190	210
TIMI		208	159	41	6	2			- 49	23.56	114	94
LINN	Reg.	44	9	29	4	1	1		35	79.55	24	20
	F. T. Total	252	168	70	10	3	1		84	33.33	138	114
OUBLANT HITT	lotal	347	196	131	12	4	2	2	151	43.52	174	173
LOWMAN HILL		252	229	3	15	2	3		23	9.13	129	123
LUNDGREN		402	366	23	7	 	5	1	36	8.96	192	210
MC CARTER		400	388	6	1	1	i	3	12	3.00	225	175
MC CLURE		349	312	26	9	+	2	-	37	10.60	172	177
MC EACHRON		236	227	20	5	2			9	3.81	122	114
POTWIN		339	299	14	13	9	4		40	11.80	174	165
QUINCY		234-	115	108	7	2	2		119	50.85	118	116
QUINTON HEIGHT	0	414	377	31	6	 		1	37	8.94	211	203
RANDOLPH		152-	101	- 46	4.	1	1		51.	33.55	92	60
RICE	. 1177	281	239	26	11	5			42	14.95	135	146
SHANER		346	257	25	63			1	89	25.72	181	165
STATE STREET		310	248	32	9	1	20	-	62	20.00	167	143
STOUT		269	185	36	16	12	17	3	84	31.23	123	146
SUMNER WHITSON		375	352	9	4	+	9	1	23	6.13	195	180

RACIAL INVENTORY FOR USD # 501 STUDENTS September 15, 1980

SCH00L		TOTAL ENROLL- MENT	CAU- CAUSIAN	NEGRO Or BLACK	SPANISH SURNAMED or HISPANIC	AMERICAN INDIAN	ORIENTAL or ASIAN AMERICAN	OTHER	TOTAL MINORITY GROUP	MINORITY %	MALE	FEMALE
				M.								
Elementary Total	Reg.	8305	6484	1332	326	.58	85	20	1821	21.93	4208	4097
	F. T.	244	59	162	19	3	1		185	75.82	143	101
Elementary Sub-Total		8549	6543	1494	345	61	86	20	2006	23.46	4351	4198
Polk - Head Start		271	126	107	28	5	3	2	145	53.51	150	121
ELEMENTARY GRAND TOTA	IL .	8820	6669	1601	373	66	89	22	2151	24.39	4501	4319
Elementary Racial F	ercentage	100.00%	75.61	18.15	4.23	0.75	1.01	0.25	24.39	24.39		

Chase Middle	408	251	84	60	12	1		157	38.48	204	204
Eisenhower	478	263	190	21	4			215	44.98	258	220
French	319	304	10	3		1	1	15	4.70	163	156
Jardine	370	321	36	5	2	1	5	49	13.48	188	182
Landon	321	304	8	2		3	4	17	5.30	177	144
Robinson	429	288	99	21	10	9	2	141	32.87	213	216
MIDDLE SCHOOLS GRAND TOTAL	2325	1731	427	112	28	15	12	594	25.55	1203	1122
Middle Schools Racial %	100.00%	74.45	18,36	4.82	1.20	0.65	0.52	25.55	25.55	Marin .	× 1 5

RACIAL INVENTORY FOR USD # 501 STUDENTS September 15, 1980

SCHOOL	TOTAL ENROLL- MENT	CAU- CAUSIAN	NEGRO Or BLACK	SPANISH SURNAMED or HISPANIC	AMERICAN INDIAN	ORIENTAL or ASIAN AMERICAN	OTHER	TOTAL MINORITY GROUP	MINORITY	MALE	FEMALE
Highland Park Senior High	1436	860	419	137	7	7	6	576	40.11	756	680
Topeka High School	1777	1230	361	134	25	24	3	547	30.78	933	844
Topeka West High School	1575	1505	34	12	1	13	10	70	4.44	779	796
Senior High Sub-Total	4788	3595	814	283	33	44	19	1193	24.92	2468	2320
Alternate Ed. Program - TEC	58	49	7	1	1			9	15.52	23	35
SENIOR HIGH GRAND TOTAL	4846	3644	821	284	34	44	19	1202	24.80	2491	2355
Senior High Racial %	100.00%	75.20	16.94	5.86	0.70	0.91	0.39	24.80	24.80		
Shawnee Co. Sp. Ed. Coop: Topeka Ed. Center	70	60	10					10	14.29	44	26
Sheldon Sp. Ed. Ctr. & MPS	50	49			1			1	2.00	32	18
Capper Foundation	80	65	9	3	3			15	18.75	45	35
SPECIAL ED. COOP TOTAL	200	174	19	3	4			26	13.00	121	. 79
Sp. Ed. Coop Racial %	100.00%	87.00	9.50	1.50	2.00	Agrea		13.00	13.00		
Capital City Schools:								0.5	20.76		24
Day School	74	49	21	2	2		-	25	33.78	65	42
TSH Patients	107	93	12	1	1	-		14	13.08		
CAPITAL CITY TOTAL	181	142	33	3 .	3			39	21.55	115	66
Capital City Racial %	100.00%	78.45	18.23	1.66	1.66			21.55	21.55		1 4 4

RACIAL INVENTORY FOR USD # 501 STUDENTS September 15, 1980

SCHOOL	TOTAL ENROLL- MENT	CAU- CAUSIAN	NEGRO Or BLACK	SPANISH SURNAMED or HISPANIC	AMERICAN INDIAN	ORIENTAL or ASIAN AMERICAN	OTHER	TOTAL MINORITY GROUP	MINORITY 2	MALE	FEMALE
Shawnee Co. Youth Center	14	11	2	1				3	21.43	11	3
Shawnee Co. Youth Ctr. %	100.00%	78.57	14.29	7.14	1.			21.43	21.43		3
Top. Assn. of Ret. Children	22	19	1	2				3	13.64	7	15
Top. Assn. of Ret. Children %	100.00%	86.36	4.55	9.09				13.64	13.64		- 1

			The second second second second	The second secon						0.8 (0.8	
Elementary (Incl. H.S. & F.T.)	8820	6669	1601	373	66	89	22	2151	24.39	4501	4319
Middle Schools	2325	1731	427	112	28	. 15	12	594	25.55	1203	1122
Senior High School	4846	3644	821	284	34	44	19	1202	24,80	2491	2355
Special Ed. C-op	200	174	19	3	4			26	13.00	121	79
Capital City	181	142	33	3	3	(4)		39	21.55	115	. 66
Shawnee Co. Youth Center	14	- 11	2	1				3	21.43	11	3
Top. Assn. of Ret. Children	22	19	1	2				3	13,64	7.	15
GRAND TOTAL - All District Programs	16,408	12,390	2904	778	135	148	53	4018	24.49	8449	7959
TOTAL RACIAL PERCENTAGES	100.00	75.52	17.70	4.74	0.82	0.90	0.32	24.49	24.49		

Report compiled by Gerald A. Miller, Dir. of Demographic Services - USD No. 501 - Topeka Public Schools - 624 West 24th Street - Topeka, Kansas 66611

The Topeka Public Schools
Unified School District No. 501
Demographic Services
624 West 24th Street
Topeka, Kansas - 66611

December 12, 1980

MEMORANDUM:

TO:

Members of the Board of Education

FROM:

SUBJECT: Additional Student Transfer Information

A request for some additional information was received from Mr. Bill Lucero, chairperson of the DCAC Student Transfers Study Committee. The committee was trying to calculate the increase or decrease in the minority percentage of each school which was attributable to the assignment of special education students to each school.

The difference between minority percentages shown in Column I and Column II for any school will indicate the effect of the racial makeup of the self-contained special education students assigned to that school. The data in Column III is shown for comparative purposes and represents the makeup of each school if it were attended by only those students in regular instructional programs residing in the home school attendance area.

attachment

mbt

COMPARISON OF MINORITY PERCENTAGE OF SELECTED STUDENT GROUPS

	<u>I</u>	II	III		
SCHOOLS	MINORITY PERCENTAGE OF ACTUAL SCHOOL ENROLLMENT (INCL. Sp. Ed.) 9-15-80	MINORITY PERCENTAGE OF ACTUAL SCHOOL ENROLLMENT (EXCL. Sp. Ed.) 9-15-80	MINORITY PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS RESIDING WITHIN EACH SCHOOL ATTENDANCE AREA 9-15-80		
ELEMENTARY					
Avondale East	32.51	33.00	32.05		
Avondale West	13.27	10.65	8.95		
Belvoir	75.62	80.23	81.94		
Bishop	7.23	7.23	8.14		
Crestview	5.06	3.33	3.12		
Gage	5.05	4.09	3.32		
H. P. Central	40.77 *	40.20 *	21.22		
H. P. North	57.31	57.61	62.85		
H. P. South	26.10	25.52	27.09		
Hudson	33.48	33.48	36.80		
Lafayette	61.25 *	63.66 *	64.96		
Lina	33.33 *	33.33 *	20.32		
Lowman Hill	43.52	43.52	48.90		
Lundgren	9.13	8.98	10.97		
McCarter	8.96	8.59	8.83		
McClure	3.00	3.00	2.93		
McEachron	10.60	10.65	9.59		
Potwin	3.81	3.81	2.93		
Quincy	11.80	12.03 -	11.73		
Quinton Heights	50.85	50.85	54.84		
Randolph	8.94	8.94	9.76		
Rice	33.55	33.55	35.84		
Shaner	14.95	13.11	16.49		
State Street	25.72	25.22	22.50		
Stout	20.00	20.00	20.39		
Summer	31.23	31.23	32.16		
Whitson	6.13	3.27	2.92		
MIDDLE SCHOOLS					
Chase	38.48	38.80	37.23		
Eisenhower	44.98	44.44	45.51		
French	4.70	4.73	5.21		
Jardine	13.48	11.05	10.19		
Landon	5.30	4.17	3.04		
Robinson	32.87	32.76	33.17		
SENIOR HIGH					
H. P. High	40.11	40.27	37.64		
Topeka High	30.78	30.70	32.19		
Topeka West	4.44	4.44	4.23		

^{*} Includes Follow Through